

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,914	03/01/2002	Lawrence Dupuis	201-0205 GMB	3776
759	90 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Gigette M. Bejin			WYSZOMIERSKI, GEORGE P	
Ford Global Technologies Inc. One Parklane Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
600 East Parklane Towers			1742	
Dearborn, MI 48126			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\omega$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/683,914	DUPUIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George P Wyszomierski	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)	r election requirement.  r. المحمود على المحمود على المحمود على المحمود على المحمود على المحمود المحم					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	pjected to. See 37 CFF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/683,914

Art Unit: 1742

- 1. The specification is objected to because of the following minor informalities:
- a) The number in the fourth line of paragraph [0021] appears to contain a typographical error.
- b) The first five lines of paragraph [0022] include text written in a font having capital letters less than 0.21 cm (0.08") in height.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) In claim 1, line 4, the reference to a "second" predetermined thickness is not understood, i.e. no "first" such thickness is recited.
- b) The meaning of the term "chrome" at the end of claims 1 and 6 is unclear. Does this term refer to elemental chromium (Cr), to a chromium-containing material, or does this term have a particular meaning in the art?
  - c) Claims dependent upon any of the above are likewise rejected under this statute.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 02-274866 in view of Boppel et al. (U.S. Patents 5,438,179 or 5,459,297).

Art Unit: 1742

JP '866 discloses cold rolling a steel sheet followed by coating the steel with nickel and chromium. The '866 reference does not specify using electron beam textured rollers, and does not specify the use of any particular form of tandem or temper mill as defined in the instant claims. However:

- a) The specific types of rollers or mills used in the claimed process define a limitation upon the apparatus used to perform the process, and do not limit the actual process or any of its steps. Such apparatus limitations do not lend patentability to an otherwise known process.

  Compare *In re Sweeney* (72 USPQ 501).
- b) The Boppel patents indicate the conventionality in the art of using electron beam textured rollers to cold roll steel, and the advantages associated therewith. The disclosures of Boppel would have indicated the desirability in the art of employing electron beam textured rollers in the rolling step of JP '866.

Consequently, the disclosure of JP 02-274866 combined with those of the Boppel et al. patents would have taught the presently claimed invention to one of ordinary skill in the art.

- 5. Claims 6-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The prior art does not disclose or suggest a process which includes all of the steps as defined in independent claim 6.
- 6. The remainder of the art cited on the attached PTO-1449 form is of interest. This art is held to be no more relevant to the claimed invention than the art cited in the rejections, supra.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,914 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Wyszomierski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King, can be reached on (571) 272-1244. Effective October 1, 2003, all patent application related correspondence transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

GPW June 15, 2004